



International Conference on Developments in Sustainable & Innovative Design for Manufacturing, Mobility & Energy System (IC-DSI-DMMES-2K26)

Peer Review, Ethics, and Publication Integrity Statement

All papers in this conference volume will be subject to rigorous peer review. This review ensures high scientific quality, originality, and relevance to the conference themes. The process aligns fully with Taylor & Francis publishing standards, COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics) guidelines, and the requirements of Scopus and Web of Science (WoS) indexed proceedings.

Review Model and Governance

The Conference Editorial Committee, comprising academic and industry experts, will administer a double-blind peer-review process to ensure confidentiality and impartiality, in line with international best practices.

Review Workflow

1. Editorial Pre-Screening

All submissions will be screened for:

- Scope and thematic relevance
- Originality and academic contribution
- Compliance with formatting and submission guidelines
- Ethical compliance and publication integrity

• Plagiarism and Similarity Control

All manuscripts will undergo plagiarism detection using standard similarity-checking software.

A maximum similarity index of 15% will be allowed. This excludes references, citations, and standard methodological descriptions.

Manuscripts above this threshold will be rejected or sent back for correction.

a. AI-Assisted Content Monitoring

The use of AI-based writing tools will be strictly monitored.

- a. Authors will be required to ensure transparency, originality, and accountability in preparing their manuscripts.
- b. AI tools will not be permitted to replace intellectual authorship, scientific reasoning, data interpretation, or original research contributions.
- c. Any unethical or undisclosed use of AI will result in rejection.

2. Expert Peer Review

Each manuscript **will be reviewed by at least two independent expert reviewers** based on:

- Scientific and technical rigor
- Methodological soundness
- Novelty and research significance
- Data integrity and reproducibility
- Clarity of presentation and academic structure

3. Revision and Quality Assurance

Authors will be required to address reviewer comments through a structured revision process. Revised manuscripts will be re-evaluated by editors and reviewers before acceptance.

4. Final Decision and Acceptance

Acceptance will be based on academic merit, ethical compliance, and quality, aligned with COPE principles and Scopus/WoS criteria.